UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/679,938 | 10/06/2003 | Benjamin Ari Tober | 111244.150 (US2) | 3607 |
| 23483 WILMERHALI | 7590 08/11/200 E/BOSTON | EXAMINER | | |
| 60 STATE STR | EET | HOANG, HIEU T | | |
| BOSTON, MA 02109 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2152 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 08/11/2008 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

michael.mathewson@wilmerhale.com teresa.carvalho@wilmerhale.com sharon.matthews@wilmerhale.com

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/679,938 | TOBER ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | HIEU T. HOANG | 2152 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 Ju</u> | ne 2008 | | | | | |
| | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| ·= | / | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| ologod in addordance with the practice and c | x parte quayre, 1000 0.D. 11, 10 | 0.0.210. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 21-24</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 21-24</u> are subject to restriction | on and/or election requirement. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce | epted or b) \square objected to by the E | Examiner. | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | priority ariable 50 5.5.5. § 115(a) | (4) 51 (1). | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents | s have been received | | | | | |
| | | on No | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | • | u III tilis National Stage | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application | | | | | | |
| B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other: | | | | | | |
| | , _ | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/679,938 Page 2

Art Unit: 2152

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1 and 23-24 drawn to modifying kernel for address space in remote procedure call or inter-process messaging, classified in class 719, subclass 330 or

313.

II. Claims 2-12 and 21-22, drawn to distributed data processing, classified in class

709, subclass 201.

2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as providing a method for modifying operating system kernel to implement remote procedure call or inter-process communication, while invention II is directed to distributed data processing. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

The examiner has required restriction between subcombinations usable together. Where applicant elects a subcombination and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR

Application/Control Number: 10/679,938

Art Unit: 2152

1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a

continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a

Page 3

claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to

provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of

the instant application.

3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given

above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required

because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their

different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given

above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required

because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02),

restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

HH

08/05/2008

/Kenny S Lin/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2152